

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/909,927	07/20/2001	Kenneth Perlin	NYU-7	2411	
7590 12/27/2004		EXAMINER			
Ansel M. Schwartz			NGUYEN, KEVIN M		
Suite 304 201 N. Craig Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh, PA			2674	7	
			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action		Application No.	pplicant(s)	
		09/909,927	PERLIN ET AL.	
	•	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kevin M. Nguyen	2674	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	is
There final roondi	REPLY FILED 23 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE To efore, further action by the applicant is required to average to under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	o a n in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
fee hav fee und (2) as :	_	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropriount of the fee. The approprioriginally set in the final Offi	ee MPEP iate extension riate extension ice action; or
1.🖂	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 August 2004</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			in
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(8	a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b	o) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(0	 they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpl	lifying the
(c	d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3.	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	nendment
5.	The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT p	lace the
6.□	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	ewly
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	c(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	l□ will be entered and w or appended.	l an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2674

10. Other: ____



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 1 and 7 raise new limitations "...for arbitrary observer position and orientation...and that continually changed the width and positions of the trips as the observer moves...".